



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/718,825

11/21/2003

Donald Paul Richmond II

1008-743-301

1336

21971

7590

09/29/2008

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI

650 PAGE MILL ROAD

PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1050

EXAMINER

VAZQUEZ, ARLEEN M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2829

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/29/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/718,825	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RICHMOND ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ARLEEN M. VAZQUEZ	<b>Art Unit</b> 2829	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ARLEEN M. VAZQUEZ. (3) George Willman.

(2) Paresh H. Patel. (4) Donald Paul Richmond II.

Date of Interview: 18 September 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 27.

Identification of prior art discussed: DeHaven et al. US 5,701,666.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Prior Art identified above and proposed amendments to claim 27 were discussed. Mr. Willman discussed the differences between the prior art and proposed amended claim 27. No agreement with respect to the claims has been reached at this time. Status of the claims remain the same until further communications.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Paresh Patel/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2829	09/23/2008
---	------------